Growth, Agriculture & Employment: Towards a Climate-Friendly World Without Farmers?

Bruno DORIN CSH (Delhi) – CIRAD (Montpellier) – CIRED (Paris) bruno.dorin@csh-delhi.com Jean-Charles HOURCADE CNRS – CIRED (Paris)



Low Carbon Society Research Network (LCS-RNet , 7th Meeting) Paris, Collège des Bernardin, 15-16 June 2015

🖉 cira(

Introduction

Ecology *vs.* Poverty ?

There is an apparent disagreement between:

⇒ the "<u>ecological critique</u>" ...that accuses "modern agriculture" of jeopardizing many ecological services through monocultures and the overuse of freshwater, fossil energy and other industrial inputs such as chemical fertilizers and pesticides [MEA, 2005; etc.]

⇒ the "<u>techno-productivist approach</u>" ...that led economists to recommend, after the 2007-08 food crisis, to "revitalize agricultural R&D investments" [Alston et al., 2009] so that "modern agriculture" plays "its role as an engine of growth" [FAO, 2009].

Our mental map (economics)

Farm Sector

- Traditional, Backward
- Low productivity, Poverty
- Uneducated, Unskilled
- Unorganized, Informal

Research, Technical progress Education Infrastructure **MARKET** growth Non-farm jobs .../... (Social safety net) (Environmental externalities)

Population pressure on land resources could be circumvented and labour productivity increased by several multiples (up to the levels of Western Agricultural economics *Europe in the early 1960s*) by investing in agricultural research, human capital and modern agricultural inputs [Hayami & Ruttan, 1971, 1985, 2002]

Lewisian pattern of growth Modern economic growth Structural transformation...

Labour

Development

economics

New

Neo-

classical

growth

theory

Modern techno/inputs

Non-Farm Sector(s)

- Modern, Developed
- Capital accumulation
- Educated, Skilled, Innovating
- Organized, Formal

Barriers to modern agricultural technology subject to exogenous technical change jam the whole development process [Gollin & al., 2002]

Firms in developing countries can exploit the industrial and technological gap with developed countries [on the global technology frontier] by structural acquiring industrial and technological economics innovations that are consistent with their new comparative advantage [Lin, 2011]

Countries with access to identical technologies should converge to a common income level .../...

Countries that are poorer and have higher marginal productivity of capital should grow more rapidly in the transition to the long-run steady state .../...

Open global economy, access to foreign capital and foreign markets further strengthen the [in Rodrik, 2013] convergence

1 A Lewisian growth & convergence since the 1960s?

The structural transformation [Chenery & Srinivasan, 1988]



All countries from 1970 to 2007

A World Without Agriculture



Introduction	Part 1	Part 2	Part 3	Conclusion	5 / 20

• One or several pathways of structural change?



Part 2



Dynamics of ASIAN countries/regions

1970 → 02007

(cumulated annual growth rates)



Introduction	Part 1	Part 2	Part 3	Conclusion	8 / 20
--------------	--------	--------	--------	------------	--------

■ Dynamics of SOUTH ASIAN countries 1970 → 2007

(cumulated annual growth rates)



Introduction	Part 1	Part 2	Part 3	>
--------------	--------	--------	--------	---

Conclusion 1

55% of the 2007 world population (29 nations of 1970)
have embarked upon a Lewis Trap since 1970
16% upon a Farmer-Developing path (49 nations)
29% upon a Lewis Path (46 nations)

Farmer- Developing	Lewis Path	$1970 \rightarrow 2007$ (average annual growth rates)
Lewis Trap	Farmer- Excluding	

	Population	Workforce		Economic growth		Labour productivity		Income	
	(heads)	(workers)		(1990-US\$)		(1990-US\$)		convergence	
	Total	Total	Agriculture	Total	Agriculture	Total	Agriculture	S1 / S2	
OECD	0.69%	1.11%	-2.93%	2.81%	1.40%	1.68%	4.46%	2.75%	
- Am&Oc	1.08%	1.62%	-0.89%	2.91%	2.76%	1.27%	3.69%	2.40%	
- Eurasia	0.47%	0.82%	-3.42%	2.74%	0.79%	1.90%	4.36%	2.42%	
TRAN	0.38%	0.38%	-1.96%	1.91%	1.07%	1.50%	3.07%	1.67%	
LAC	1.89%	2.92%	0.30%	3.50%	3.03%	0.56%	2.73%	2.21%	
MENA	2.44%	3.00%	0.67%	4.10%	3.07%	1.08%	2.40%	1.36%	
SSA	2.75%	2.80%	2.05%	3.28%	3.09%	0.46%	1.01%	0.55%	
ASIA	1.75%	2.14%	1.40%	6.76%	3.69%	4.53%	2.27%	<mark>-2.16%</mark>	
- South	2.13%	2.28%	1.49%	5.17%	2.76%	2.82%	1.25%	-1.56%	
- East	1.49%	2.07%	1.35%	7.61%	4.38%	5.44%	3.00%	-2.31%	
World	1.61%	1.95%	1.18%	3.10%	2.25%	1.13%	1.06%	-0.07%	

Introduction

A matter of low yield & barriers to modern technology?



converted & aggregated into kcal



Introduction

Part 1

Part 2





Conclusion 2

Historical evidences

Basic mechanism

Lewis Path (19th & 20th centuries in OECD) migration $\Theta_a = +$ A world without agriculture Machinery Labour-intensive manufacture Convergence with $\Theta_{na} = ++$ $\Theta_a = ++$ Few monocultures & few agro-industries Low resilience to economic & climatic shocks Higher land acreage per farmer was the main driver for boosting:

- agricultural labour productivity
- convergence of incomes across sectors



Risk of severe social and political crises

Introduction	Part 1	Part 2	Part 3	Conclusion	14 / 20
--------------	--------	--------	--------	------------	---------

≠

A long historical process (3) with widening gap in early stages?

Nothing wrong, let us wait?



Structural transformation is a long historical process characterized in the early stages by a widening gap between farm and non-farm labour productivity (?)

[McMillan & Rodrik, 2012, pp. 9-10]

15 / 20



A heuristic numerical experiment on India

	Past 1980 => 2007	Shukla & Dhar's scenario 2007 => 2050	"Lewis Path" scenario 2007 => 2050
Population +1.94 % => 1165 M		+0.76 % => 1615 M	+0.76 % => 1615 M
Growth (GDP) +6.1 %		+7.3 %	+7.3 %
- agriculture	+3.0%	+2.6 %	+2.6 %
- non-agriculture	+7.2%	+7.7 %	+7.7 %
Labour productivity	+3.9 %	+6.2 %	+6.2 %
- agriculture	+1.6 %	+3.0 %	+9.3 %
- non-agriculture	+3.7%	+5.4 %	+4.6 %
Workforce	+2.2 % ⇔ 463 M	+1.1 % ⇔ 735 M	+1.1 % 🗢 735 M
- agriculture	+1.4 % ⇔ 259 M (56%)	–0.4 % ⇔ 217 M (30%)	–6.2 % ⇔ 17 M (2%)
- non-agriculture	+3.4 % ⇔ 204 M (32%)	+2.2 % ⇔ 518 M (70%)	+3.0 % ⇔ 718 M (98%)
Income gap Agri/Non-Agri	1/6	1/17	1/1
Workforce in agriculture (change over the period) Land availability (end year)	+ 82 M workers (+146 M people) 0.66 ha/worker	– 41 M workers (– 156 M people) 0.78 ha/worker	– 242 M workers (– 547 M people) Max 10 ha/worker
Introduction Part 1	Part 2	Part 3	Conclusion 17

Conclusion 3

Unless labour is as free to move worldwide as capital today,

a country like India can hardly follow the Lewis Path of OECD countries

(1) Industry is less able to absorb labour than at the time of "manufacture"

- Labour productivity 7 (economy of scale, motorization/automation)

- Sector growth slows down (increasing cost of oil and other non-renewable raw materials, strengthening of environment-friendly regulations, market saturation in industrialized countries, slower increase of wages in developed economies not compensated by an increase elsewhere...)

(2) It would require a mega-urbanization ever faced in history

- No more "open spaces" for exporting labour surpluses (60 million Europeans emigrate to the "New Worlds" between 1850 and 1930)
- Lewis Path scenario for India (2050): 80% of the population (1.3 billion people out of 1.6)
 lives in cities whose density reaches 55,000 inhabitants per km²
 (35,000 in Dhaka and 27,100 in Mumbai in 2010, the two current densest cities in the world)

(3) <u>Farm labour productivity cannot be boosted as in OECD countries</u> Limited prospects of:

- Large-scale moto-mechanization: max 10 ha/farmer in 2050 (150 in CA, 63 in US, 30 in FR... in 2007)
- Higher yield with modern industrial inputs (fertilizer, pesticide, oil...):
 ever-increasing costs + decreasing marginal productivity + negative externalities (on natural resource, climate, animal and human health...)
- International market: trade barriers + market powers (from large-scale and well-organized agro-industries that emerged during the past century)

Introduction

Part 2



Concluding discussion

Towards a paradigm shift ?

- The equation at stake
- A 2050 vision

Science & farmers managing a mosaic of agro-ecosystems boosting local synergies amongst many plant and animal species above & below the ground surface.

The "agro-ecological perspective" [Altieri, 1999] ? or "matrix" [Perfecto & Vandermeer, 2010] ? The "Ecological intensification" (www.cirad.fr) ? The "Reverse innovation" [Vijay Govindarajan] ? The "Nano eco-friendly capitalism" ? The "Agricultural eco-friendly Jugaad" ?



Increasing ...without sending farmers' income most of them & production to shantytowns Prices Costs of non-agricultural inputs

- Higher biodiversity & biological synergies
 production Q (total useful biomass)
 resilience to economic & climatic shocks
- 2 Saving of inputs Y
- u production costs (higher incomes)
- environmental costs צ
- 3 Higher prices **p**
- ↗ quality (tasty/nutritious food)
- ↗ co-products (wood, fuel, fibre, drugs...tourism)
- ↗ ecosystem services (local & global)

4 Higher labour intensity L_a:

- for knowledge-intensive & context-specific work
- small family farms usually more productive & profitable per hectare [Sen 1964; Wiggins et al. 2010]

Introduction	Part 1	Part 2	Part 3	Conclusion	19 / 20
--------------	--------	--------	--------	------------	---------

Two pending questions...



How our societies and their institutions get organized to promote and remunerate properly collective and public goods provided by agriculture?

How this new agriculture and rural organization can emerge and coexist with large-size agro-industries that now feed a growing portion of humankind?



Thanks for your attention

References

• .../...

• Alston, J.M., Beddow, J.M., Pardey, P.G., 2009. Agricultural Research, Productivity, and Food Prices in the Long Run. Science 325, 1209-1210

- Altieri, M.A., 1999. The ecological role of biodiversity in agroecosystems. Agriculture Ecosystems & Environment 74, 19-31
- Chenery, H., Srinivasan, T.N., 1988. Handbook of Development Economics, Volume 1, Part 2: Structural Transformation. Elsevier, Eastbourne, pp. 197-465
- Dorin, B., Landy, F., 2009. Agriculture and Food in India. A Half-Century Review, From Independance to Globalization. Manohar-Quae-CSH, New Delhi
- FAO, 2009. The State of Food Insecurity in the World. Economic crises, impacts and lessons learned. Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations, Rome, p. 61
- Gollin, D., Parente, S., & Rogerson, R., 2002) The role of agriculture in development. American Economic Review, 92, 160-164
- Hayami, Y., Ruttan, V.W., 1985. Agricultural development: An international perspective. Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, MD
- Lewis, W.A., 1954. Economic Development with Unlimited Supplies of Labour. Manchester School of Economic and Socal Studies 22, 139-191
- Lin, J.Y.F., 2011. New Structural Economics: A Framework for Rethinking Development. World Bank Research Observer 26, 193-221.
- Losch, B., Fréguin-Gresh, S., White, E., 2011. Rural Transformation and Late Developing Countries in a Globalizing World. A Comparative Analysis of Rural Change. World Bank, Washington D.C., p. 342
- McMillan, M., Rodrik, D., 2012. Globalization, structural change, and productivity growth, IFPRI Discussion Paper 01160. International Food Policy Research Institute, Whashington D.C., p. 40.
- MEA, 2005. Ecosystems and Human Well-being: Millennium Ecosystem Assessment, World Resources Institute, Washington D.C., p. 155
- Perfecto, I., Vandermeer, J., 2010. The agroecological matrix as alternative to the land-sparing/agriculture intensification model. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 107, 5786-5791
- Rodrik, D., 2013. Unconditional Convergence in Manufacturing. Quarterly Journal of Economics 128, 165-204.
- Sen, A., 1964. Size of Holdings and Productivity. Economic & Political Weekly
- Shukla, P.R., Dhar, S., 2011. Climate agreements and India: aligning options and opportunities on a new track. International Environmental Agreements-Politics Law and Economics 11, 229-243

• Timmer, C.P., 2009. A World without Agriculture. The Structural Transformation in Historical Perspective. The American Enterprise Institute, Washington D.C.

• Wiggins, S., Kirsten, J., Llambi, L., 2010. The Future of Small Farms. World Development 38, 1341-1348

Bullet points

- The Lewisian pattern of growth is bound to land availability (besides technological and non-agricultural dynamics)
- Only OECD and transition countries have embarked upon the final stage of "modern economic growth" (Lewis Path)
- 3 Agricultural labour force increased elsewhere (1961-2007) and farm plots shrank
- 4 Labour income gap of Asian farmers widened despite best growth and ranking in yield
- 5 Small-scale agro-ecological farms might be an alternative to mega-slum-urbanization